


PROCEDURE OF IMPOUNDING OF  
INSTRUMENT  
ANSWER OUTLINE



Ali Husnain Shah

## **Q. PROCEDURE OF IMPOUNDING OF INSTRUMENT. ?**

UNSTAMPED INSTRUMENT IS ADMISSIBLE. ?

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SECTION 33 AND 35 OF STAMP ACT 1899.

1. INTRODUCTION:

2. RELEVANT SECTION:

**SECTION 33 AND 35 OF STAMP ACT 1899:**

3. MEANING OF INSTRUMENT:

**UNDER SECTION 2 (14)**

4. MEANING OF IMPOUNDING:

**WHARTON'S LAW DICTIONARY:**

5. IMPOUNDING OF INSTRUMENT UNDER SECTION 33:

6. OBJECT OF SECTION 33:

7. AUTHORITY COMPETENT TO IMPOUND:

8. CONDITION FOR IMPOUNDING:

9. TIME FOR IMPOUNDING:

10. PROCEDURE OF IMPOUNDING:

I. EXAMINATION OF INSTRUMENT:

II. SATISFACTION OF AUTHORITY:

III. ENDORCEMENT BY PRESIDING OFFICER:

IV. MANADOTORY PROVISION:

11. INSTRUMENT NOT DULY STAMPED UNDER SECTION 35:

I. GENERAL RULE:

II. EXCEPTION TP GENERAL RULE:

A. ADMISSIBLE OF INSTRUMENT ON PAYMENT OF PENALITY:

a. **WHEN AMOUNT EXCEEDSTEN TIMES TO FIVE RUPEES:**

**b. TIME FOR PAYMENT OF PENALTY:**

**c. CASES WHERE DEFECT CANNOT CURED:**

B. UNSTAMPED RECEIPTS:

C. CONTRACT EFFECTED BY CORRESPONDENCE:

D. ASMISSION OF INSTRUMENT BY CRIMINAL COURTS:

E. INSTRUMENT EXECUTED BY GOVERNMENT:

12.EFFECT OF INSTRUMENT NOT DULY STAMPED:

13.OBJECTION AS TO INSTRUMENT NOT BEING STAMPED:

14.DOCUMENT ADMITTED OR REJECTED AS A WHOLE:

15.DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SECTION 33 AND 35:

I. DIFFERENCE AS TO SPECIFICATION OF OFFICE:

II. DIFFERENCE AS TO PENALTY:

III. DIFFERENCE AS TO COMPULSION:

IV. OPPORTUNITY OF MAKING UP DEFICIENCY:

16.CONSLUSION: